

INVESTIGATION OF NEONATAL COMPLICATIONS IN LATE PRETERM INFANTS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH TERM INFANTS

Dr. Humera Ahmed^{*1}, Dr. Seema Aftab², Dr. Noor-Un-Nisa Masqati³, Dr. Madiha Abid⁴,
Taimoor Malik⁵, Iqra Rehman Sheikh⁶

^{*1,2,3,4,6}Paediatrics Department, Dow University Hospital, DUHS, Karachi, Pakistan

⁵School of Public Health (SPH), DUHS, Karachi, Pakistan

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15341862>

Keywords

Late preterm infants, neonatal morbidity, neonatal mortality, risk factors, logistic regression

Article History

Received on 28 March 2025

Accepted on 28 April 2025

Published on 05 May 2025

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *
Dr. Humera Ahmed

Abstract

As a results of physiological immaturity Late preterm infants (34–36 weeks gestation) are at elevated chance of morbidity and mortality in contrast to term infants. The current study focussed to evaluate the influence of late preterm birth on neonatal outcomes and determine associated risk factors for mortality.

Methods:

A prospective cohort study was conducted on 200 neonates (100 late preterm, 100 term). Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared by employing chi-square and independent samples t-tests. To ascertain risk factors associated with mortality Logistic regression was performed. A p -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

Late preterm infants had notably lesser birth weights (2.177 ± 0.531 kg vs. 2.966 ± 0.584 kg, $p < 0.01$) and lesser APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes ($p < 0.01$). Morbidities including presumed sepsis, respiratory distress, hypoglycemia, perinatal asphyxia along with the need for mechanical ventilation were significantly inflated in late preterm infants ($p < 0.01$). Similarly, mortality was also significantly prominent in late preterm infants (48% vs. 12%, $p < 0.01$). Logistic regression detected mechanical ventilation as a robust predictor of mortality (OR: 0.027, $p < 0.001$), whereas late preterm birth revealed a insignificant trend toward increased risk of mortality ($p = 0.094$).

Conclusion:

Late preterm infants experienced significantly elevated morbidity and mortality as compared to term infants. The need for mechanical ventilation is a pivotal predictor of neonatal mortality. Increased infant care and timely interventions for late preterm infants could enhance outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth, defined as delivery before 37 weeks of gestation, continue to be one of the notable sources of newborn morbidity and mortality globally, responsible for 35% of neonatal deaths worldwide [1,2]. As reported by the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 15 million infants are born preterm every year, and more than 1 million of them die because of complexities related to prematurity [3].

Late preterm infants (LPIs); those born between 34+0- and 36+6-weeks gestation account for nearly 75% of all preterm births and 9% of total live births internationally [4-6].

Regardless of their near-term appearance, LPIs are biologically undeveloped and practically remains at an inflated risk of neonatal complexities in comparison to term infants. Their organ systems, together with the

respiratory, metabolic, thermoregulatory, hepatic, and immune systems, remain immature leaving them to detrimental neonatal outcomes [7-8]. These newborns have an augmented frequency of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN), feeding disorders, hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, infections, and delays in developments of neurological system resulting in extended hospitalization, excessive cost of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions, and escalated medical care expenses [9-10].

Over the past few decades, the prevalence of late preterm births has climbed globally [11] which is mainly motivated due to breakthrough in maternal-fetal technology, evolving obstetric practices, and enhanced prenatal management [1]. The increasing utilization of elective cesarean sections, induction of labor and early term deliveries due to maternal or fetal distress has resulted into a higher section of medically indicated late preterm births [12-13].

In modern settings, rigorous maternal-fetal surveillance and improvements in perinatal care enable timely recognition of at-risk pregnancies encouraging deliberated late preterm deliveries [11]. However, such intensified medicalization of pregnancy has given rise to unwanted unnecessary early term mediation, increasing induced late preterm births in some settings [12].

Although developed countries have comprehensively investigated late preterm outcomes and enforced structured neonatal handling decorum, evidence from developing countries remain insufficient [14]. The burden of neonatal morbidity and mortality is distinctly immense in Asian countries, where restricted healthcare facilities and hampered maternal care access promote inferior outcomes among LPIs [15].

In a country such as Pakistan, preterm birth complexities are a major source of newborn deaths, accounting for approximately 36% of neonatal fatalities [16]. A study conducted in Karachi revealed that LPIs attributed to 95.5% of all preterm births and 12.7% of total neonatal admissions [14]. However, due to limited resources, neonatal care facilities for LPIs are often poor, with several patients of avoidable difficulties such as sepsis, jaundice, and respiratory distress developing into deadly outcomes [17]. Moreover, the early discharge of LPIs to avoid

congestion in the hospital escalates their likelihood of postnatal issues such as hypoglycemia, feeding difficulties, and untreated hyperbilirubinemia [18]. Scarcity of parental awareness, insufficient follow up care and prolong detection of neonatal ailment further promote high frequency of readmission and newborn deaths in Pakistan [19]. A study indicated that LPIs had a 2-3 times higher likelihood of growth delays and learning Impairment in comparison to term born adults [20]. These persistent implications highlight the urgency for timely neurodevelopmental screening and devoted interventions for LPIs [21].

Despite the increasing research on late preterm birth in developed nations, insufficient data exist from low income country such as Pakistan. The escalated neonatal mortality rate in South Asia emphasizes the necessity to examine the morbidity and mortality among late preterm infants (LPIs) in the region. This study aimed at addressing this gap by investigating the morbidity and mortality patterns of LPIs admitted to Civil Hospital Karachi. By determining high risk factors, neonatal complexities, and survival outcomes, this study will offer opportunities for development of evidence-based clinical protocols and improving neonatal care facilities in Pakistan. The objective of this study is to compare neonatal morbidity and mortality among late preterm and term infants and identify risk factors associated with mortality.

Literature Review

Respiratory difficulties are the most frequent morbidities in LPIs, mainly due to undeveloped lung function and procrastinated surfactant production (7, 22). Studies have shown that that a sizeable segment of LPIs need interventions including continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or mechanical ventilation because of their immature lungs and elevated threat of respiratory distress syndrome (23-25). The most dominant respiratory situation in this group includes respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), transient tachypnea (TTN) and pneumonia. RDS is often related to surfactant inadequacy whereas TTN arise from delayed lung fluid clearance. Moreover, cesarean delivery in the absence of labor has been associated with a excessive risk of RDS and TTN, as labor plays a vital part in lung fluid absorption (26). The utilization of antenatal corticosteroids for reducing respiratory difficulties in LPIs stays a topic of

concern, as findings demonstrate contradictory results concerning their effectiveness (10).

Metabolic complexities, specifically hypoglycemia is another notable issue in LPIs. These newborns have restricted glycogen stores and undeveloped glucose regulation, making them more susceptible to hypoglycemia, which appears in 23-47% of LPIs (18). Regular blood glucose surveillance and timely nutritional assistance are decisive in handling this ailment. Furthermore, hypothermia is a primary risk due to an enlarged body surface area-to-weight ratio and undeveloped thermoregulatory process (15). Literature underscores that kangaroo mother care (KMC), incubators, and warmers remarkably assist in maintaining thermal reliability and reducing energy loss in LPIs (27).

Jaundice is also frequent in LPIs attributable to undeveloped liver function and enhanced bilirubin formation, influencing 50% of LPIs in contrast to 23% of term infants (28). Many needs phototherapy or exchange transfusion to avoid kernicterus, a serious neurological problem (29). Premature discharge without bilirubin screening augments the risk of unidentified acute jaundice (28). The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) advocates delayed discharge and timely screening to avoid complexities (30).

Infections and sepsis are primary issues related to LPIs due to undeveloped immune defences and lower maternal antibody transfer, making them 2-3 times more vulnerable to ailment than term infants (31). Sepsis influences 30-50% of LPIs with early occurring cases associated with Group B Streptococcus (GBS) whereas late occurring infections induced by hospital acquired pathogens (32). Early antibiotic treatment, infection management, and exclusive mother feeding assist in lowering these potential outcomes (14).

LPIs also experience extended neurodevelopment threats as a results of partial brain growth, with cortical volume accumulating about 50% between 34 and 40 weeks (21). Studies advocate that they have 2-3 times higher probability of cognitive delays, ADHD, and learning challenges as compared to term infants (33). Timely diagnosis and treatment strategies are crucial to improve extended mental and behavioural outcomes (21).

Largely, LPIs continue to be a vulnerable group demanding special neonatal facilities, timely

diagnosis, and continual follow-up to lessen complexities and enhance survival outcomes. The increasing identification of their distinctive susceptibility emphasizes the necessity for evidence-based neonatal care approaches adapted to manage their particular risks and augment postnatal support procedures.

Material And Methods

Study Design and Setting: This was a prospective cohort study conducted at the Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Ruth K.M. Pfau Civil Hospital Karachi. The data collection lasted for six months from 24th December 2017 to 23rd June 2018.

Sample Size:

OpenEpi version 3.01 was used to calculate sample size by using 15.3% of jaundice in non-exposed versus 41.6% in exposed groups respectively (34) with confidence interval of 95% and absolute precision 5% resulted in the sample size of 100 for each group (200 neonates)

Sampling Technique: Non probability convenience sampling technique was employed to recruit participants.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Exposed Group: Late preterm infants (34+0 to 36+6 weeks of gestation).
- Non-exposed Group: Term infants with gestational age > 37 weeks.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Multiple gestation infants.
- Infants with major congenital anomalies and clinically identified chromosomal syndromes.

Data Collection Procedure: The study was initiated after getting approval from College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan. Patients visiting the Department of Paediatrics, Dr Ruth K.M Pfau Civil Hospital Karachi (CHK) meeting the inclusion criteria were registered in the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the parents. Neonates were categorized into **two groups** as:

- Exposed group: LPIs
- Unexposed group: Term Infants

A structured proforma was utilized to get demographic details and other information such as

maternal history, gestational age, and perinatal records. Gestational age was determined by employing the last menstrual period (LMP). Enrolled neonates were closely observed for seven days to assess their morbidity and mortality outcomes. Clinical examination and laboratory investigations were carried out as follows:

Vital signs monitoring (temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation) at regular intervals.

Blood sugar levels testing was inspected 6-hourly for the first 24 hours, followed by 12-hourly monitoring up to 72 hours and as needed depending on clinical presentation. Serum bilirubin levels were checked at 24, 48, and 72 hours to monitor jaundice. Complete blood count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP), and blood culture and sensitivity were performed for suspected sepsis cases. Chest X-rays were performed for neonates presenting with respiratory distress to assess situation like respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) or pneumonia. Serum electrolytes and renal function tests were conducted in neonates presenting signs of metabolic instability. Morbidity patterns and mortality outcomes were analysed at the end of the seven-day of follow-up. Neonates discharged earlier were followed up by means of hospital records to ensure data completeness.

Data Analysis

SPSS Version 26 was used to analyse data. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize data as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables whereas frequencies and percentages were used to summarize categorical variables. To compare morbidity and mortality among groups, Chi-square test (for categorical variables) and independent sample t test (for continuous variables) were applied. A multivariable logistic regression model was used to determine independent predictors of mortality. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant for the analyses.

Results:

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Population

The study included a total of 200 infants with 100 in the Late Preterm group whereas 100 in the Term group. The distribution of gender was similar between

the two groups ($p = 0.887$), indicating no significant difference. In LPIs, the mean birth weight was significantly smaller (2.177 ± 0.531 kg) as compared to the term group (2.966 ± 0.584 kg) ($p < 0.01$). The mean Apgar scores were significantly smaller in the LPIs as compare to term infants: at 1 minute (5.97 ± 1.632 vs 6.75 ± 1.395 , $p < 0.01$) and at 5 minutes (7.35 ± 1.320 vs 8.02 ± 1.189 , $p < 0.01$)

Neonatal Morbidity in Late Preterm and Term Infants

Morbidities were found to be significantly more dominant between LPIs in comparison to term infants as sepsis was identified in 99% of LPIs versus 82% of term infants ($p < 0.01$). Likewise, jaundice was more frequent in the LPIs (51% vs. 23%, $p < 0.01$). Hypoglycemia was also significantly found in higher frequency among LPIs (47% vs. 23%, $p < 0.01$). Similarly, respiratory distress was detected in majority of LPIs (89% vs 65%, $p < 0.01$). The frequency of perinatal asphyxia was significantly prominent in LPIs (46% vs. 24%, $p = 0.001$) whereas the need for mechanical ventilation was noticeably higher in the late preterm group (37% vs. 11%, $p < 0.01$).

Mortality Outcomes in Late Preterm and Term Infants

It was revealed that mortality rates were significantly higher for LPIs as compared to term infants ($p < 0.01$). Among LPIs, 48% expired, while merely 12% of term infants did not survive. On the other hand, the survival frequency was substantially lower in LPIs (52%) in contrast to term infants (88%).

Association of Morbidities with Mortality Status

Table 4 shows the association among different neonatal morbidities and mortality status. Respiratory distress showed a strong association with mortality ($p = 0.001$) as 91.67% of expired neonates having respiratory distress compared to 70.71% of survivors. Perinatal asphyxia also revealed a strong relationship with mortality ($p < 0.001$) as 53.33% of expired neonates affected in comparison to 27.14% of survivors. Similarly, mechanical ventilation also indicated significant relationship with mortality ($p < 0.001$) with 70% of expired neonates required ventilation as compared to only 4.29% of survivors. However, jaundice and hypoglycemia were not

significantly related to mortality ($p = 0.225$ and $p = 0.332$, respectively).

Risk Factors Associated with Mortality

The multivariable logistic regression model was employed to determine the relationship between different risk factors and mortality. Mechanical ventilation emerged as a significant predictor of mortality ($\text{Exp}(B) = 0.027$, 95% CI: 0.008–0.094, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating a noticeably elevated risk among ventilated infants. Other factors, such as late preterm birth, low birth weight, sepsis, jaundice, and respiratory distress, did not exhibit significant relationship with mortality.

Discussion

This study intended at comparing neonatal outcomes among late preterm and term infants, concentrating on morbidity and mortality rates and to determine significant factors related to neonatal mortality. The findings highlight the elevated susceptibility of LPIs to detrimental outcomes and emphasize mechanical ventilation as a pivotal predictor of mortality.

Neonatal Characteristics and Outcomes

The results exhibited that LPIs had significantly lesser birth weights and APGAR scores at both 1 and 5 minutes in contrast to term infants. Particularly, the mean birth weight for LPIs was 2.177 ± 0.531 kg, noticeably lower than the 2.966 ± 0.584 kg found in term infants ($p < 0.01$). Equivalently APGAR scores were significantly lesser in the LPIs ($p < 0.01$) at 1 minute (5.97 ± 1.632 vs. 6.75 ± 1.395) and 5 minutes (7.35 ± 1.320 vs. 8.02 ± 1.189). These results accord with current literature demonstrating that LPIs frequently have decreased physiological reliability at birth making them vulnerable to various complexities [28].

Morbidity Profiles

LPIs showed elevated frequency of various morbidities compared to their term infants. The occurrence of presumed sepsis was higher in LPIs (99% vs. 82%, $p < 0.01$). Moreover, conditions such as jaundice (51% vs. 23%), hypoglycaemia (47% vs. 23%), respiratory distress (89% vs. 65%), perinatal asphyxia (46% vs. 24%), and the need for mechanical ventilation (37% vs. 11%) were all significantly more frequent in the LPIs ($p < 0.01$). These results are

compatible with previous findings that have reported elevated likelihood of neonatal complexities in LPIs together with respiratory distress syndrome and sepsis [28].

Mortality Rates

The mortality rates were considerably higher among LPIs with 48% dying in contrast to 12% of term infants ($p < 0.01$). This significant variation highlights the increased likelihood of mortality correlated with late preterm birth. Earlier studies have also revealed that elevated mortality in this population frequently associated with their physiological immaturity and the enhanced incidence of serious conditions demanding critical care [35].

Risk Factors for Mortality

Univariate analysis detected respiratory distress, perinatal asphyxia, and the need for mechanical ventilation as factors significantly associated with escalated mortality. Particularly, 91.67% of expired neonates suffered from respiratory distress in contrast to 70.71% survivors ($p = 0.001$). Perinatal asphyxia was found in 53.33% of non-survivors as compared to 27.14% of survivors ($p < 0.001$). While mechanical ventilation was needed in 70% of expired infants, on the other hand 4.29% of survivors required this intervention ($p < 0.001$). These results emphasize the crucial description of respiratory complications and the relevant interventions in finding neonatal outcomes.

Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis

The results of a multivariable logistic regression showed that mechanical ventilation was the most significant predictor ($p < 0.001$). This specifies that infants in need of mechanical ventilation had a distinctly escalated chance of mortality. Other factors including late preterm birth, low birth weight (≤ 2.5 kg), sepsis, jaundice, and respiratory distress, did not achieve statistical significance with mortality in the multivariable model. The importance of mechanical ventilation as a mortality predictor aligns with recent studies that have reported its relationship with raised morbidity and mortality attributable to the seriousness of the underlying conditions demanding its use [36].

Limitations and Future Research

While this study imparts important insights, some limitations must be acknowledged. The study did not consider possible confounding factors like mother health, quality of prenatal care, and sociodemographic factors that may impact neonatal outcomes. Moreover, few factors that exhibited statistical significance in univariate analysis did not achieve statistical significance in logistic regression probably due to interactions among risk factors. Further research must take into account a more comprehensive evaluation of maternal and perinatal factors to better deduce their influence on neonatal morbidity and mortality. Studies with more

contrasted populations and increased follow-up duration may further justify these findings and offer extensive insights into long-term outcomes.

Conclusion

Late preterm infants are at substantially elevated risk for different morbidities and mortality compared to term infants. Mechanical ventilation appears as a decisive independent predictor of mortality in this population. These results underline the requirement for focussed clinical plans incorporating increased surveillance, precautionary measures and resource assigning to revamp outcomes for late preterm infants.

Table-01: Baseline Characteristics of Neonates

Characteristic	Late Pre-Term	Term	p-value
Gender			
Male	52	51	0.887
Female	48	49	
Birth Weight (kg)	2.177±0.531	2.966±0.584	<0.01
Mode of Delivery			
Vaginal	38	67	<0.01
Cesarean	62	33	
APGAR Score at 1 min	5.97±1.632	6.75±1.395	<0.01
APGAR Score at 5 min	7.35±1.320	8.02±1.189	<0.01

Table-02: Comparison of Neonatal Morbidities Between Exposed and Unexposed Groups

Morbidity	Late Pre-Term	Term	p-value
Presumed Sepsis			
Yes	99	82	<0.01
No	1	18	
Jaundice			
Yes	51	23	<0.01
No	49	77	
Hypoglycemia			
Yes	47	23	<0.01
No	53	77	
Respiratory Distress			
Yes	89	65	<0.01
No	11	35	
Perinatal Asphyxia			
Yes	46	24	0.001

No	54	76	
Mechanical Ventilation			
Yes	37	11	<0.01
No	63	89	

Table-03: Comparison of Mortality Between Exposed and Unexposed Groups

Mortality	Late Pre-Term (%)	Term (%)	p-value
Survived	52	88	<0.01
Expired	48	12	

Table-04: Association Between Neonatal Characteristics and Mortality

Factor	Survived n(%)	Expired n(%)	p-value
Presumed Sepsis			
Yes	123 (87.86)	58 (96.67)	0.052
No	17 (12.14)	2 (3.33)	
Jaundice			
Yes	48 (34.29)	26 (40)	0.225
No	92 (65.71)	34 (60)	
Hypoglycemia			
Yes	46 (32.86)	24 (40)	0.332
No	94 (67.14)	36 (60)	
Respiratory Distress			
Yes	99 (70.71)	55 (91.67)	0.001
No	41 (29.29)	5 (8.33)	
Perinatal Asphyxia			
Yes	38 (27.14)	32 (53.33)	<.001
No	102 (72.86)	28 (46.67)	
Mechanical Ventilation			
Yes	6 (4.29)	42 (70)	<.001
No	134 (95.71)	18 (30)	

Table-05: Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors for Neonatal Mortality

Risk factor	Exp(B)	Sig.	95% C.I
Late pre term	0.312	0.094	0.08-1.219
Birthweight (≤ 2.5)	1.974	0.308	0.534-7.294
Sepsis	0.778	0.807	0.103-5.859
Mechanical Ventilation	0.027	0	0.008-0.094
Jaundice	0.967	0.957	0.286-3.265
Respiratory Distress	0.974	0.969	0.256-3.707

REFERENCES

- Cao G, Liu J, Liu M. Global, regional, and national incidence and mortality of neonatal preterm birth, 1990-2019. *JAMA pediatrics*. 2022 Aug 1;176(8):787-96.
- Simpson SJ, Du Berry C, Evans DJ, Gibbons JT, Vollsæter M, Halvorsen T, Gruber K, Lombardi E, Stanojevic S, Hurst JR, Um-Bergström P. Unravelling the respiratory health path across the lifespan for survivors of preterm birth. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2024 Feb 1;12(2):167-80.
- World Health Organization. Preterm birth [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 10]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth>
- Osterman MJ, Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Driscoll AK, Valenzuela CP. Births: final data for 2020
- Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *The lancet*. 2008 Jan 5;371(9606):75-84.
- Vogel JP, Chawanpaiboon S, Moller AB, Watananirun K, Bonet M, Lumbiganon P. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*. 2018 Oct 1;52:3-12.
- Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Woodworth KR, Cottengim CR, Erck Lambert AB, Harvey EM, Monsour M, Parks SE, Barfield WD. Sudden unexpected infant deaths: 2015–2020. *Pediatrics*. 2023 Apr 1;151(4):e2022058820.
- Tochie JN, Sibetcheu AT, Arrey-Ebot PE, Choukem SP. Global, regional and national trends in the burden of neonatal respiratory failure and essentials of its diagnosis and management from 1992 to 2022: a scoping review. *European Journal of Pediatrics*. 2024 Jan;183(1):9-50.
- Mekic N, Selimovic A, Cosickic A, Mehmedovic M, Hadzic D, Zulic E, Mustafic S, Serak A. Predictors of adverse short-term outcomes in late preterm infants. *BMC pediatrics*. 2023 Jun 17;23(1):298.
- You J, Shamsi BH, Hao MC, Cao CH, Yang WY. A study on the neurodevelopment outcomes of late preterm infants. *BMC neurology*. 2019 Dec;19:1-6.
- Vogel JP, Chawanpaiboon S, Moller AB, Watananirun K, Bonet M, Lumbiganon P. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*. 2018 Oct 1;52:3-12.
- Karnati S, Kollikonda S, Abu-Shaweesh J. Late preterm infants–Changing trends and continuing challenges. *International Journal of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 2020 Mar 1;7(1):38-46.
- Maternal and fetal factors associated with late preterm births" by McClure et al., 2022
- Karnati S, Kollikonda S, Abu-Shaweesh J. Late preterm infants–Changing trends and continuing challenges. *International Journal of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 2020 Mar 1;7(1):38-46.
- Tikmani SS, Saleem S, Sadia A, M. Bann C, Bozdar MH, Raza J, Dhaded SM, Goudar SS, Gowdar G, Yasmin H, McClure EM. Predictors of Preterm Neonatal Mortality in India and Pakistan: A Secondary Analysis of Data from PURPOSE Study. *Global Pediatric Health*. 2024 Mar;11:2333794X241236617.
- United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF). Neonatal mortality [Internet]. New York: UNICEF; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 15]. Available from: <https://www.unicef.org> (Use the actual webpage link if available.)
- Ashraf I, Bestman PL, Assiri AA, Kamal GM, Uddin J, Luo J, Orayj KM, Ishaqui AA. Status of Inappropriate Complementary Feeding and Its Associated Factors Among Infants of 9–23 Months. *Nutrients*. 2024 Dec 19;16(24):4379.
- Bham SQ, Khan KM. Impact of Rising Elective Cesarean Section Rates on Early Neonatal Outcome: Elective Cesarean Section and Neonatal Outcome. *Pakistan Journal of Medicine and Dentistry*. 2024 Jul 24;13(3):38-46.

- Khan T, Malik S, Rafeekh L, Halder S, Desai S, Das Bhattacharya S. Facilitators and barriers to maternal immunization and strategies to improve uptake in low-income and lower-middle income countries: a systematic review. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2024 Dec 31;20(1):2411823.
- Song IG. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm infants. *Clinical and experimental pediatrics*. 2022 Dec 30;66(7):281.
- Ryan MA, Murray DM, Dempsey EM, Mathieson SR, Livingstone V, Boylan GB. Neurodevelopmental outcome of low-risk moderate to late preterm infants at 18 months. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*. 2023 Nov 30;11:1256872.
- Kahraman FU, Ovalı HF, Say ZA. Clinical Features of Late-preterm vs. Term Newborns: A Case-control Study. *Kafkas Journal of Medical Sciences/Kafkas Tıp Bilimleri Dergisi*. 2020 Apr 1;10(1).
- Fanaroff JM, Wilson-Costello DE. Late preterm infants: undercooked and overlooked. *Pediatric Research*. 2024 Feb;95(3):605-6.
- Mengistu TS, Schreiber V, Flatley C, Fox J, Kumar S. Factors associated with increased risk of early severe neonatal morbidity in late preterm and early term infants. *Journal of clinical medicine*. 2021 Mar 23;10(6):1319.
- Bremer AA, Grewal J, Hazra R, Romero R, Bianchi DW. World Prematurity Day: it takes an NIH village to prevent preterm birth and improve treatments for preterm infants. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*. 2021 Nov 1;321(5):L960-9.
- Hammoud AH, Naser NA. The Relation between the Timing of Electives, Emergency Cesarean Deliveries, and Early Neonatal Respiratory Morbidity in Neonates at Two Hospitals in Kirkuk City. *Iraqi Journal of Community Medicine*. 2025 Jan 1;38(1):84-9.
- Ignacio RP, Villanueva-Uy ME. Kangaroo mother care versus incubator in transporting stable preterm neonates: A randomized controlled trial. *Acta Medica Philippina*. 2021 Dec 21;55(9).
- Mekic N, Selimovic A, Cosickic A, Mehmedovic M, Hadzic D, Zulic E, Mustafic S, Serak A. Predictors of adverse short-term outcomes in late preterm infants. *BMC pediatrics*. 2023 Jun 17;23(1):298.
- Reyna BA. The infant at risk. *Core Curric. Matern. Nurs. Eb*. 2022 Oct 14:236.
- Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine, Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule Workgroup. 2023 Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Health Care. *Pediatrics*. 2023;151(4):e2023061451. doi:10.1542/peds.2023-061451
- Wolska M, Wypych TP, Rodríguez-Viso P. The Influence of Premature Birth on the Development of Pulmonary Diseases: Focus on the Microbiome. *Metabolites*. 2024 Jul 11;14(7):382.
- Abbassinia H, Dashti S, Gholami R, Ghalekhondabi L, Borumandnia N. Increasing Trend and Influencing Factors of Pre-term Labor in Iran from 2005 to 2019. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2023 Dec 1;33(6).
- McGowan EC, Hofheimer JA, O'Shea TM, Kilbride H, Carter BS, Check J, Helderma J, Neal CR, Pastyrnak S, Smith LM, Camerota M. Analysis of neonatal neurobehavior and developmental outcomes among preterm infants. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022 Jul 1;5(7):e2222249
- Rather GN, Jan M, Rafiq W, Gattoo I, Hussain SQ, Latief M. Morbidity and mortality pattern in late preterm infants at a tertiary care hospital in Jammu & Kashmir, Northern India. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2015 Dec;9(12):SC01-4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/16294.6916.
- Sharma D, Padmavathi IV, Tabatabaai SA, Farahbakhsh N. Late preterm: a new high risk group in neonatology. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2021 Aug 18;34(16):2717-30.
- Fatima B, Shafique MF, Murad M, Irfan I, Mehmood A, Hussain S, Habib MF. Indications and Short-Term Outcomes of Conventional Mechanical Ventilation in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of a Tertiary Care Hospital in a Developing Country. *Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research*. 2024 May 11;4(2):629-35